

The Oracle® Applications “Tax”

an eprentise white paper



tel: 407.591.4950 | toll-free: 1.888.943.5363 | web: www.eprentise.com

Author: Helene Abrams
www.eprentise.com

© 2022 eprentise, LLC. All rights reserved.

eprentise, FlexField Express and FlexField are registered trademarks of eprentise, LLC.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation.

All other company or product names are used for identification only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

The only good thing about the corporate income tax is that a larger tax is a sign of larger revenue. In every company, there is also a “deadweight tax” levied on the company by Oracle® EBS and other ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) systems. You probably wonder why you’ve never heard of this tax. And you also likely wonder if there is a way to avoid paying it.

When you learn that the taxes might be as much as 25 percent of your revenue,¹ it’s time for a long talk with your IT director. This so-called tax is a cancer that grows in the IT organization because ERP systems can’t change as the business changes. The real dollars are not the millions that you spent implementing your applications. The tax begins to accrue as your company grows and changes but the systems that you put in place several years ago haven’t changed.

Yes, Oracle added new features and functions and even made the Applications easier to manage. But increased functionality alone does not support business changes such as mergers and acquisitions, Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX), the change to the Euro, etc. Even when functionality is present, the Aberdeen Group, in a study of more than 1,200 enterprises, found that on average companies use only about 43 percent of available ERP functionality.²

The deadweight ERP tax, as it will be called in this article, operates in several ways. In any sizeable company that has even a modest history of growth, this tax can be several million dollars per month. The tax can be attributed to several causes:

1. Silo mentality. A silo mentality increases complexity, duplication of efforts and different versions of the truth, which compromise the quality, reliability and accessibility of vital information.³

As Ken Jones, CEO of Accessible Technologies Inc. recalled, “Having to maintain and reconcile three databases was slowing production, especially in periods of strong growth and during peak season. Customer service was also hindered by the lack of system integration and an inflexible order configuration process.”⁴

2. Inability to predict future business needs. This vulnerability is especially true at the time of implementation when the tendency is to put in a system that closely resembles the legacy system that it is replacing.

3. Inability to change ERP system set-up parameters. This factor is seen in a multitude of areas, such as the accounting structures or product IDs after the first transaction is entered.

Another element of the tax arises from the complexity of the underlying ERP system. Management needs information that is pertinent to how the business operates now. Suppose, for example, that management wants a customer-spending analysis across different operating entities and different systems. How is the information gathered?

The ERP tax is imposed by the need to employ tens or, more often, hundreds of people to extract and refine data from multiple sources, or even garner the information from multiple modules within the ERP system and reconcile them to the current business processes. These people build elaborate spreadsheets, reports, and data warehouses that then take on a maintenance life — and expense — of their own.

Think next about the cost of accurate regulatory reporting. Driven by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and other industry-specific regulations, achieving and maintaining regulatory compliance has become a high priority of critical business objectives. Consistency and manageability of business processes and information are critical components of compliance strategies. To achieve and maintain compliance, enterprises need a "single version of the truth."⁵ SOX requires full transaction tracing, and each different system has a unique approach to that requirement. Once again, another army of specialists must be hired to find and reconcile all of the relevant data to avoid making inaccurate reports. Violation of laws such as SOX is not an option. But absent a single source of truth for the enterprise data, the company must pay another form of the ERP tax.

Operationally, multiple applications and information silos impose another ERP tax. This tax takes the form of multiple license fees and support contracts for the same applications. The tax also accrues because technical differences among diverse applications require the hiring of experts to create, implement, maintain, update and secure the different applications. The ERP taxes mushroom with the need to maintain multiple backup, restore and replication functions with specialized hardware and software requirements.

Consider next the elephant in the room: the tax imposed by bad data quality. This tax is imposed by the business implications for having different terms with your suppliers, duplicate products with different prices, different credit limits for your customers, customers not being treated consistently across the organization and duplicate data entry. Most companies have between 10 percent and 60 percent of duplicate or inconsistent data in their systems, costing millions of dollars per month.

A major U.S. bank had tens of terabytes of redundant and overlapping data following a series of acquisitions. By cleaning up the duplicate data and consolidating their enterprise data, the bank reduced storage by \$30 million per year on a single project.⁶

These data quality issues can cost a company as much as 25 percent of a company's revenue.⁷

Companies are spending millions of dollars on implementing a service-oriented architecture (SOA) to try and avoid some of these taxes, or at least lower their "tax bracket". The reason for moving to a new architecture like SOA may be for many different reasons. But generally speaking, companies justify moving to a SOA to reduce development costs, share data among different systems and enable business process change. To be clear, there is no "Tabula Rasa", no clean slate. Anything that is wrong with the original systems will carry over and be multiplied within the service-oriented architecture.

It is the digital version of Shakespeare's "the sins of the father are to be laid upon the children."

In many cases, implementing an SOA brings with it additional taxes in the form of maintenance and overhead. Information Week• reported that about a quarter of SOA and Web-services projects that fell short of expectations usually did so because of business and IT alignment problems.⁸

Using an SOA to integrate technologies does not standardize the business processes or ensure that the SOA-based business components are widely accepted by different parts of the organization. Integrating systems that do not have consistent business rules or that have data conflicts among them leads to

management and governance issues, increases the cost of implementing SOA and actually impedes change.

By now, it is evident that the deadweight ERP tax in its many forms is real and substantial. The high taxes resulting from silos of information, the implementation of multiple instances and the fragmented view of enterprise information added to the taxes imposed by data-quality issues and lack of business agility could easily negate the benefits of implementing an ERP system. What's more, applications could quickly turn into the dinosaur legacy applications of years past.

The sizable IT tax that your company may be paying, perhaps without your knowledge, is a vivid symptom of increasing ERP obsolescence. Put simply, the inability to change will eventually lead to extinction.

1 English, Larry. *Improving Data Warehouse and Business Information Quality*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1999, p 12.

2 Jutras, Cindy. , "Best Practices in Extending ERP. A Buyer's Guide to ERP Versus Best of Breed Decision". Aberdeen Group. November, 2006. P 11.

3 Newman, David and Logan, Debra. "Achieving Agility: How Enterprise Information Management Overcomes Information Silos". Gartner Research ID G00137817. April, 2006. P 3.

4 Ken Jones, CEO, Accessible Technologies, Inc. Aberdeen Group, November, 2006. Best Practices in Extending ERP. A Buyer's Guide to ERP Versus Best of Breed Decisions. P 11.

5 Dorr, Erik. "Instance Consolidation Strategies and the Role of Enterprise Information Management." Gartner Research ID G00134459. October, 2005.

6 Evans, John. "Data Integration Challenges & Benefits for Enterprise Application Migrations, Consolidations and Upgrades". IBM Information Integration Solutions White Paper, June, 2005.

7 English, Larry. *Improving Data Warehouse and Business Information Quality*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1999, p 12.

8 Ricadela, Aaron. "The Dark Side of SOA." Information Week. 4 Sep. 2006. CMP

Curious?

For more information, please call **eprentise** at **1.888.943.5363** or visit **www.eprentise.com**.



About eprentise

eprentise provides transformation software products that allow growing companies to make their Oracle® E-Business Suite (EBS) systems agile enough to support changing business requirements, avoid a reimplementation and lower the total cost of ownership of enterprise resource planning (ERP). While enabling real-time access to complete, consistent and correct data across the enterprise, **eprentise** software is able to consolidate multiple production instances, change existing configurations such as charts of accounts and calendars, and merge, split or move sets of books, operating units, legal entities, business groups and inventory organizations.